arXiv:1407.1613v2 [math.AP] 28 Jul 2014

NONLOCAL STOKES-VLASOV SYSTEM: EXISTENCE AND DETERMINISTIC HOMOGENIZATION RESULTS

GABRIEL NGUETSENG, CELESTIN WAFO SOH, AND JEAN LOUIS WOUKENG

Abstract. Our work deals with the systematic study of the coupling between the nonlocal Stokes system and the Vlasov equation. The coupling is due to a drag force generated by the fluid-particles interaction. We establish the existence of global weak solutions for the nonlocal Stokes-Vlasov system in dimensions two and three without resorting to assumptions on higher-order velocity moments of the initial distribution of particles. We then study by the means of the sigma-convergence method, the asymptotic behavior in the general deterministic framework, of the sequence of solutions to the nonlocal Stokes-Vlasov system. In guise of illustration, we provide several physical applications of the homogenization result including periodic, almost-periodic and weakly almost-periodic settings.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the rigorous asymptotic analysis of a system of integro-differential equations modeling the evolution of a cloud of particles immersed in an incompressible viscous fluid. We neglect particle-particle collisions in such a way that at the microscale level, particles' distribution, f_ε, satisfies the Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial f_\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}_v \left((\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v) f_\varepsilon \right) = 0 \text{ in } Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

in which the non-dimensional small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ represents the scale of inhomogeneities, $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t,x)$ is fluid's velocity at time t and position x, $f_\varepsilon(t,x,v)dv$ is roughly the odd of finding a particle with velocity v near x at time t, $Q = (0,T) \times \Omega$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N = 2,3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary , T is a given positive real number representing the final time, the operator ∇ (resp. div_v) denotes the gradient operator with respect to $x \in \Omega$ (resp. the divergence operator in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with respect to $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$). We posit that the cloud of particles is highly diluted in such a way that we may assume that the density of the fluid is constant. Thus, the particles evolve in a Newtonian fluid governed by the Stokes system. The viscoelastic constitutive law associated to the momentum balance and the fluid-particles interaction give rise to the following Stokes system:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau,x) \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau,x) d\tau \right) + \nabla p_\varepsilon = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v) f_\varepsilon dv \text{ in } Q, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } Q, \tag{1.3}$$

where p_ε is pressure and the oscillating viscosities A_0^ε and A_1^ε are defined by $A_i^\varepsilon(t,x) = A_i\left(t, x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ $((t,x) \in Q$ and $i = 0,1)$, with the A_is constrained as follows:

(**A1**) $A_i \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})^{N^2})$ are symmetric matrices with A_0 satisfying the following condition:

$$A_0 \xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^2 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. in } \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau}$$

with $\alpha > 0$ a given constant not depending on x, t, y, τ and ξ.

Date: June, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35Q35, 46J10, 76T20, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal Stokes-Vlasov system, Deterministic homogenization, Introverted algebras with mean value, sigma-convergence, Convolution.

The system (1.1)-(1.3) is supplemented with the initial data

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(0,x)=\boldsymbol{u}^0(x),\ f_\varepsilon(0,x,v)=f^0(x,v),\ x\in\Omega, v\in\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.4}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ and } f_\varepsilon(t,x,v)=f_\varepsilon(t,x,v^*) \text{ for } x\in\partial\Omega \text{ with } v\cdot\nu(x)<0, \tag{1.5}$$

where $v^*=v-2(v\cdot\nu(x))\nu(x)$ is the specular velocity, $\nu(x)$ is the outward normal to Ω at $x\in\partial\Omega$ and the functions $\boldsymbol{u}^0$ and f^0 are chosen as follows:

(**A2**) $\boldsymbol{u}^0\in L^2(\Omega)^N$ with $\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}^0=0$, $f^0\geq 0$, $f^0\in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$.

It is opportune to stress that we have not imposed the constraint $|v|^5 f^0\in L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ as suggested by Yu [38]. Indeed, we are going to see that the Lemma 2.1 of Hamdache [18] renders such assumption superfluous provided appropriate regularization and truncation are performed. In particular, the truncation of the initial distribution of particles in the v-direction relieves us from the assumption on moments. Contrary to contemporary approaches, ours permeates initial distribution of the form $\alpha(x)/(1+|v|^2)$, where $\alpha\geq 0$ and $\alpha\in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

The system (1.1)-(1.5) arises in several applications comprising the modeling of reactive flows of sprays [1, 26], atmospheric pollution modeling [14], and waste water treatment [11]. When there is no particle evolving in the fluid (i.e. when $f_\varepsilon\equiv 0$) the asymptotic analysis of Eqs. (1.1)-(1.5) reduces to the study of the asymptotics of (1.2)-(1.5) (with of course $f_\varepsilon\equiv 0$ therein), which has been very recently undertaken by Woukeng [35] in the almost periodic framework.

There is a fairly extensive literature concerned with the asymptotic analysis of the Vlasov equations coupled with other equations: the works [6, 9, 10] deal with the periodic homogenization of the Vlasov equations, the main thrust of the papers [10, 15] is the asymptotic analysis of the coupling Vlasov-Poisson system, Mellet et al. [17] is concerned with the homogenization of the coupling Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Compressible Navier-Stokes system, and Goudon et al. [12, 13] treats the asymptotic behavior of the coupling Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations.

In this work, our objective is twofold: 1) we state and prove an existence result for the system (1.1)-(1.5) without any assumption on the v-moments of the initial condition f^0; 2) we carry out the homogenization of (1.1)-(1.5) under suitable structural assumptions on the coefficients of the operators involved in (1.2). These assumptions cover a wide set of concrete behaviors such as the classical periodicity assumption, the almost periodicity hypothesis, weakly almost periodicity hypothesis and much more. In order to achieve our goal, we shall use the concept of *sigma-convergence* [23, 29] which is roughly a formulation of the well-known two-scale convergence method [22] in the context of *algebras with mean value* [19, 29, 39]. This is the so-called *deterministic homogenization* theory which includes the periodic homogenization theory as a special case. As far as we know, our results are new in the context of general deterministic homogenization since the available results deal with either periodic homogenization [6, 9, 10, 15] or rely on the concept of *relative entropy* [12, 13, 17, 25, 28, 37].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we state and outline the proof of an existence result for our ε-problem. We also derive some a priori estimates that will be useful in next sections. Section 3 deals with the concept of Σ-convergence and its relation with convolution. We first recall some useful tools related to algebras with mean value and define convolution over the spectrum of an algebra with mean value. In Section 4, we state and prove the main homogenization result. In Section 5, we give some concrete situations in which the result of Section 4 is valid. Finally, we summarize our findings in Section 6.

In the sequel, unless otherwise specified, the field of scalars acting on vector spaces is the set of real numbers and scalar functions are real-valued. If X and F respectively denote a locally compact space and a Banach space, then we respectively write $\mathcal{C}(X;F)$ and $\mathrm{BUC}(X;F)$ for continuous mappings of X into F and bounded uniformly continuous mappings of X into F. We shall always assume that $\mathrm{BUC}(X;F)$ is equipped with the supremum norm $\|u\|_\infty=\sup_{x\in X}\|u(x)\|$ in which $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm of F. In the notations for functions space, we shall omit the codomain when it is $\mathbb{R}$. To wit, $\mathcal{C}(X)$ will stand for $\mathcal{C}(X;\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{BUC}(X)$ will be a shorthand notation for $\mathrm{BUC}(X;\mathbb{R})$. Likewise, the usual Lebesgue spaces $L^p(X;\mathbb{R})$ and $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(X;\mathbb{R})$ where X is equipped with a positive Radon measure, are respectively abbreviated $L^p(X)$

and $L^p_{\text{loc}}(X)$. Finally, it will always be assumed that the Euclidian space $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$) and its open sets are each endowed with Lebesgue measure $dy = dy_1 \dots dy_N$.

2. Existence result and basic a priori estimates

In this part, we focus on the existence of solutions to our ε-problem. We shall define a regularized problem, solve it and show that the limit (in a sense to be specified) of the solution of this regularized problem solves our ε-problem. In order to implement our program, we shall establish some *a priori* estimates in some classical functional spaces we introduce below. These estimates will be used in compactness arguments at various stages of this work.

The main classical spaces involved in the mathematical study of incompressible fluid flows are spaces connected to kinetic energy, entropy, the boundary conditions and the conservation of mass. These spaces will be denoted V and H and they may be respectively constructed as closure of $\mathcal{V} = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)^N : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0\}$ in $H^1(\Omega)^N$ ($H^1(\Omega)$ the usual Sobolev space on Ω), and $L^2(\Omega)^N$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, we have that $V = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = 0\}$ and $H = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in L^2(\Omega)^N : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \text{ and } \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$, ν being the outward unit vector normal to $\partial\Omega$. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product in H, and by $\cdot$ the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The associated norm in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is denoted by $|\cdot|$. All duality pairing are denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ without referring to spaces involved. Such spaces will be understood from the context. We set

$$\Sigma^{\pm} = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N : \pm v \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}.$$

With the functional framework fixed, we can now specify the type of solutions we will be seeking.

Definition 2.1. A pair $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon)$ (for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$) is called a *weak solution* to the system (1.1)-(1.5) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T]; V')$;
- $f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) \geq 0$ for any $(t, x, v) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$;
- $f_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N))$;
- $f_\varepsilon |v|^2 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N))$;
- for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ with compact support in v, such that $\phi(T, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$ and $\phi(t, x, v) = \phi(t, x, v^*)$ on $(0, T) \times \Sigma^+$, we have

$$\int_{Q \times \mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla \phi + (\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v) \cdot \nabla_v \phi \right) dx dv dt + \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f^0 \phi(0, x, v) dx dv = 0; \tag{2.1}$$

- for all $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T] \times \Omega)^N$ with $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ and $\psi(T, \cdot) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \left(-\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \psi \right) dx dt \;&=\; - \int_{Q \times \mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon (\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v) \cdot \psi \, dx dt dv \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{u}^0 \cdot \psi(0, x) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In Eq. (2.2) $A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ stands for the function defined by

$$(A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)(t, x) = \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(t - \tau, x) \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau, x) \, d\tau \text{ whenever } (t, x) \in Q.$$

The main result of this section is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Under assumption* (**A1**)-(**A2**) *and for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a weak solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon)$ of* (1.1)-(1.5) *in the sense of Definition* 2.1. *There also exists a $p_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ such that* (1.2) *is satisfied.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be done in several steps described in the subsections that follow. The general idea is loosely to regularize our problem, solve the regularized problem and take the limit of its solution to obtain a solution of our problem.

2.1. **Regularization and truncation.** We start by fixing notations that will be useful in the sequel. Let $(\theta_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$ be a mollifying sequence in x i.e. its terms belong to $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^N)$ (the space of compactly supported and smooth functions) such that $\theta_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{-N}\theta(x/\lambda)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda > 0$, where $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^N)$, $0 \le \theta \le 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\theta) \subset B(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \le 1\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta(x)dx = 1$. A regularizing sequence in $(x,v) \in \mathbb{R}_x^N \times \mathbb{R}_v^N$ will be denoted $(\Theta_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$. We shall use the same notation for convolution in x and (x,v). The context shall indicate which convolution is used. For vector-valued functions, convolution is done componentwise. We also consider $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_v^N)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma) \subset B(0,2)$, $\gamma(v) = 1$ for all $v \in B(0,1)$ and $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. We define the truncating sequence $(\gamma_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$ by $\gamma_\lambda(v) = \gamma(\lambda v)$. It can be verified that $\gamma_\lambda(v) \to 1$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

In the sequel, a function defined on Ω will be extended by zero outside of Ω before convolution although we shall keep the same notation for the extended function. Furthermore, especially in inequalities involving λ, we shall assume throughout that $0 < \lambda \le 1$. The latter assumption is used when needed to obtained uniform estimates in λ.

Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in L^2(0,T;V)$. The regularized system associated to our ε-problem takes the following form:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \mathrm{div}_v\left((\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda - v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right) = 0 \text{ in } Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} - \mathrm{div}\left(A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau,x)\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(\tau,x)d\tau\right) + \nabla p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda - v)\gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv \text{ in } Q, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\, \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = 0 \text{ in } Q, \tag{2.5}$$

The system (2.3)-(2.5) is supplemented with the following initial and boundary conditions.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0,x) = u^0(x); \\ \text{b) } f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0,x,v) := f_\lambda^0(x,v) = \gamma_\lambda(v)(f^0 * \Theta_\lambda)(x,v),\ x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega; \\ \text{b) } f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x,v) = f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x,v^*) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ with } v \cdot \nu(x) < 0. \end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

2.2. **Existence, regularity and estimates of $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$.** In this part, we focus on Eq. (2.3) coupled with the initial condition (2.6 b) and the boundary condition (2.7 b). In what follows, we use C as a generic name for positive constants independent of both ε and λ. In all the estimates, we suppose when the need arises that ε and λ are sufficiently small. We shall assume throughout that $f^0 \in L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \ge 1$, unless we mention otherwise.

Since $|f_\lambda^0| \le |f^0 * \Theta_\lambda|$, we have

$$\left\|f_\lambda^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \left\|f^0 * \Theta_\lambda\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\Theta_\lambda\|_{L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

in such a way that

$$\left\|f_\lambda^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Theorem 4 of Mischler [21], we infer that $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ uniquely exists and belongs to $L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))$. Since both f_λ^0 and the coefficients of Eq. (2.3) are $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, we can deduce using the method of characteristics that $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ is nonnegative and belongs to $\mathcal{C}^1((0,T)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$. Following [18, p. 54], we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{Nt}\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left(e^{-Nt}f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)^p dxdv\right) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Then, by integrating both sides of Eq (2.9) from 0 to t, we obtain

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} = \mathrm{e}^{Nt\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\left\|f_\lambda^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, by using the inequality (2.8), we arrive at the estimate

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))} \le C(N,T,p)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, we turn our attention to the estimates of v-moments of $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$. Lemma 2.1 of Hamdache [18] will be our workhorse. Let us first observe that $f^0_\lambda \in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ since f^0_λ is compactly supported and $f^0 \in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p\geq 1$ be fixed. Furthermore, we assert that for any $m\geq 1$,

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f^0_\lambda dxdv \leq C(N,p)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Indeed, the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f^0_\lambda dxdv &\leq \int_{\Omega\times\{|v|\leq 2\}} |v|^m f^0_\lambda\, dxdv + \int_{\Omega\times\{|v|>2\}} |v|^m f^0_\lambda dxdv = \int_{\Omega\times\{|v|\leq 2\}} |v|^m f^0_\lambda dxdv\\ &\leq \int_{\Omega\times\{|v|\leq 2\}} f^0_\lambda dxdv \leq |\Omega\times\{|v|\leq 2\}|^{1/q}\left\|f^0_\lambda\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\\ &\leq C(N,p)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ (see (2.8))},\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where q is the conjugate exponent of p, are true. In view of the continuous embedding $H^1_0(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ for any $1\leq r\leq 6$, and since $N\leq 3$, we may choose $m\geq 1$ such that $N+m\leq 6$ (for example $1\leq m\leq 4$ if $N=2$, and $1\leq m\leq 3$ for $N=3$). Thus, for such an m, the fact that $\boldsymbol{w}\in L^2(0,T;L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N)$ steems from both the above continuous embedding and $\boldsymbol{w}\in L^2(0,T;V)$. With this in mind and taking into account Eq. (2.12), we see that we are within the hypotheses of [18, Lemma 2.1]. Hence, the following estimate holds:

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\, dxdv \leq C(N,T)\left[\left(\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f^0_\lambda dxdv\right)^{\frac{1}{N+m}} + \left(\left\|f^0_\lambda\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}+1\right)\left\|\boldsymbol{w}*\theta_\lambda\right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N)}\right]^{N+m}. \tag{2.14}$$

By employing the inequality (2.12) in conjunction with the estimate

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)*\theta_\lambda\right\|_{L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N} \leq \left\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N}\left\|\theta_\lambda\right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \left\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N}, \tag{2.15}$$

and noting that $\left\|f^0_\lambda\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}$, we arrive at the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdv \leq C(N,m,p,T)\left[\left\|f^0\right\|^{\frac{1}{N+m}}_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} + \left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}+1\right)\left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{N+m}(\Omega)^N)}\right]^{N+m}$$

for any $m\geq 1$ satisfying $N+m\leq 6$. By employing the Sobolev embedding $H^1_0(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^{N+m}(\Omega)$, the latter inequality leads to

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^m f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdv \leq C\left[\left\|f^0\right\|^{\frac{1}{N+m}}_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} + \left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}+1\right)\left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\right]^{N+m} \tag{2.16}$$

for any $m\geq 1$ satisfying $N+m\leq 6$, where $C=C(N,m,p,T,\Omega)$.

Remark 2.1. We shall discover in the sequel that the estimate (2.16) makes assumptions on higher-order v-moments of the initial distribution redundant. It is opportune to emphasize that besides Lemma 2.1 of Hamdache [18], both regularization and truncation have played a fundamental role in deriving the inequality (2.16).

Next, we provide estimates which show among other things that the force field

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\boldsymbol{w}*\theta_\lambda - v)\gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x) = \operatorname{div}\left(\int_0^t A^\varepsilon_1(t-\tau,x)\nabla\boldsymbol{w}(\tau,x)d\tau\right), \tag{2.19}$$

belongs to $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)$. So, let $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)^N$. For almost all $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x), \boldsymbol{\Phi}\rangle| &\leq \int_{\Omega\times\{|v|\leq 2\}} (1+|\boldsymbol{w}*\theta_\lambda|) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \,|\boldsymbol{\Phi}|\, dxdv \\ &\leq C(N)\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}\right)\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}\,\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Thus, for almost all $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N} \leq C(N)\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}\right)\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.21}$$

Since $f_\lambda^0 \in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the maximum principle applied to the transport equation, we have

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C(N,T)\left\|f_\lambda^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N,T)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore, using Eq. (2.22) in Eq. (2.21), we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N} \leq C(N,T)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{w}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}\right) \tag{2.23}$$

for almost all $t \in [0,T]$. Thus, since $\boldsymbol{w} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$, so is $\boldsymbol{G}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$.

Now, we turn our attention to $\boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,.), \boldsymbol{\Phi}\rangle| &\leq N^3\|A_1\|_{L^\infty(Q\times\mathbb{R}^{N+1})^{N^2}}\int_0^t\int_\Omega |\nabla\boldsymbol{w}|\,|\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}|\,dxd\tau \\ &\leq N^3\|A_1\|_{L^\infty(Q\times\mathbb{R}^{N+1})^{N^2}}\,T\,\|\nabla\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^{N^2})}\,\|\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^{N^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore, $\boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)$ and

$$\|\boldsymbol{H}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)} \leq N^3\|A_1\|_{L^\infty(Q\times\mathbb{R}^{N+1})^{N^2}}\,T^{3/2}\,\|\nabla\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^{N^2})}. \tag{2.25}$$

2.3. **Existence of $(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, p_{\varepsilon,\lambda})$ and further estimates.** We look for $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^2(0,T;V)$ such that $\partial\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon/\partial t \in L^2(0,T;V')$ and, for almost all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in V$,

$$\left\langle \frac{d\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{dt}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right\rangle + a_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda},\boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t), \boldsymbol{\Phi}\rangle, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0 \in H, \tag{2.27}$$

where

$$a_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \int_\Omega A_0^\varepsilon(t,x)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}(x)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x)dx \tag{2.28}$$

and $\boldsymbol{F}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ is defined in Eq. (2.17). Note that by standard arguments [20], the problem (2.26)-(2.27) makes sense. By direct computations using assumptions made on the A_is, one arrives at the following properties of a_ε.

- The function $t \mapsto a_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\Phi})$ is mesurable for all $\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in V$.
- For almost every $t \in [0,T]$ and for all $\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in V$,

$$|a_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\Phi})| \leq N^3\|A_0\|_{L^\infty(Q\times\mathbb{R}^{N+1})^{N^2}}\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_V\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_V := M\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_V\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_V. \tag{2.29}$$

- For almost every $t \in [0,T]$ and for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$,

$$|a_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v})| \geq \alpha\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_V^2. \tag{2.30}$$

Thus, by Lions' theorem [20], there is a unique $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^2(0,T;V)\cap\mathcal{C}(0,T;H)$ satisfying Eqs (2.26)-(2.27). Since $\boldsymbol{F}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \in L^2(0,T,V)$, it is a simple matter to check that $\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(A_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}) - \boldsymbol{F}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^N \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^N$ ($\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ the usual space of distributions on Ω) for almost all $t \in [0,T]$. Thus, thanks to Eq. (2.26) and Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 of [33], there is a unique $p_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t) \in L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ such that Eq. (2.4) holds in the sense of distributions and

$$\|p_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla p_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)^N} \tag{2.31}$$

for almost all $t \in [0,T]$.

2.4. **Solvability of (2.3)-(2.7) with $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$.** Here, we prove via Schauder's fixed point theorem that by letting $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$, the regularized problem is still solvable. In order to do that, we consider the mapping

$$S : L^2(0,T;V) \to L^2(0,T;V) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{w} \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda},$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ is the unique solution of Eqs. (2.26)-(2.27). The mapping S is well-defined because of the previous step . We need to show that S has a fixed point as asserted in the next result.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a function $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ in $L^2(0,T;V)$ such that $S\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$.*

Proof. The mapping S is not linear. However, we can check that it is Lipschitz continuous. Indeed, let $\boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2 \in L^2(0,T;V)$ and set $\boldsymbol{u}^i_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = S\boldsymbol{w}_i$ $(i=1,2)$, $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}_2$. Let us also denote by $p^i_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ $(i=1,2)$ the associated pressures. Then, $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \boldsymbol{u}^1_{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \boldsymbol{u}^2_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ and $p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = p^1_{\varepsilon,\lambda} - p^2_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ solve the following Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right) + \nabla p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} &= \operatorname{div}\left(A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{w}\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda)\gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\, dv \text{ in } Q \\
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} &= 0 \text{ in } Q \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} &= 0 \text{ on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0,x) &= 0,\ x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the leading equation above by $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$, we find after integrating over Ω that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\, dx + \int_\Omega (A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{w}) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx + \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv dx = 0.$$

Integrating the above equation over $(0,t)$ and using assumption (**A1**), we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\, dx + 2\alpha \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\, dx d\tau \le -2\int_0^t \int_\Omega (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{w}) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx d\tau \\
&\quad -2\textstyle\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv dx d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left|\int_0^t \int_\Omega (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{w}) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx d\tau\right| &= 2\left|\int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \left(\int_\Omega A_1^\varepsilon(\tau - s)\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau) dx\right) ds\right) d\tau\right| \\
&\le \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \tfrac{\tau}{\alpha} \left\|A_1^\varepsilon(\tau - s)\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds + \int_0^\tau \tfrac{\alpha}{\tau} \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\right) d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \tfrac{c_1}{\alpha}\tau \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds + \int_0^\tau \tfrac{\alpha}{\tau} \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\right) d\tau \\
&= \alpha \int_0^t \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau + \int_0^t \left(\tfrac{c_1}{\alpha}\tau \int_0^\tau \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\right) d\tau \\
&\le \alpha \int_0^t \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau + Ct \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\right) d\tau \text{ since } 0 \le \tau \le t \\
&\le \alpha \int_0^t \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau + CT \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \left\|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\right) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left|\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv dx d\tau\right| &\le C(N,T) \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} |B(0,2)| \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda|\, |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|\, dx d\tau \\
&\le C \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left(|\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda|^2 + |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\right) dx d\tau \\
&\le C \left\|\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + C \int_0^t \left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \\
&\le C \left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + C \int_0^t \left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|B(0,2)|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of $B(0,2)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\,dx+\alpha\int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(Q)}+C\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau \|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds\right)d\tau\\ &\quad +C\int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(Q)}+C\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau \|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds\right)d\tau &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(Q)}+C\int_0^T\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds\right)d\tau\\ &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(Q)}+CT\int_0^T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{w}(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds\\ &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)}.\end{aligned}$$

By conflating the previous estimates, we obtain the inequality

$$\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2\,dx+\alpha\int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau \leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)}+C\int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau.$$

Then, Gronwall's Lemma implies the inequality

$$\int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau \leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} \text{ for all } 0\leq t\leq T,$$

from which we infer that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau \leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)}$$

or equivalently,

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}. \tag{2.32}$$

Now, let $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0,T)\otimes\mathcal{V}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t},\varphi\right\rangle &= -\int_Q A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot\nabla\varphi dxdt-\int_Q (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{w})\cdot\nabla\varphi dxdt\\ &\quad -\int_Q\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\lambda(v)dv\right)(\boldsymbol{w}*\theta_\lambda)\cdot\varphi dxdt\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t},\varphi\right\rangle\right| &\leq C\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2(Q)}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)}+\|A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)}+C\|\boldsymbol{w}*\theta_\lambda\|_{L^2(Q)}\|\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)}\\ &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\|\varphi\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} \text{ because of (2.32)},\end{aligned}$$

C being a positive constant that does not depend on φ. Therefore, it follows from the density of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0,T)\otimes\mathcal{V}$ in $L^2(0,T;V)$ that $\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}/\partial t\in L^2(0,T;V')$ with

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}\leq C\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}. \tag{2.33}$$

The inequality (2.32) implies that S sends continuously $L^2(0,T;V)$ into itself. Moreover (2.32) and (2.33) show that S transforms bounded sets in $L^2(0,T;V)$ into bounded sets in $W(0,T)=\{\boldsymbol{w}\in L^2(0,T;V):\partial\boldsymbol{w}/\partial t\in L^2(0,T;V')\}$ ($W(0,T)$ being endowed with the norm $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{W(0,T)}=[\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)}+\|\partial\boldsymbol{w}/\partial t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V')}]^{1/2}$ which makes it a Hilbert space). Furthermore, the range of S is contained in $W(0,T)$ which is compact in $L^2(0,T;H)$ because of the Aubin-Lions lemma. Thus, the range of S is relatively compact in $L^2(0,T;H)$ and hence in $L^2(0,T;V)$ since the latter space is closed in the former. Hence, by Schauder's fixed point theorem, S admits a fixed point. □

We have just proved the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, the problem* (2.34)-(2.38) *below*

$$\frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \operatorname{div}_v \left((\boldsymbol{w} * \theta_\lambda - v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \right) = 0 \text{ in } Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \tag{2.34}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau,x) \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau,x) d\tau \right) + \nabla p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v) \gamma_\lambda(v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv \text{ in } Q, \tag{2.35}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = 0 \text{ in } Q, \tag{2.36}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0,x) = u^0(x), \ f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0,x,v) := f^0_\lambda(x,v) = \gamma_\lambda(v)(f^0 * \Theta_\lambda)(x,v), \ x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ and } f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x,v) = f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x,v^*) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ with } v \cdot \nu(x) < 0 \tag{2.38}$$

admits a unique solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, p_{\varepsilon,\lambda})$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^2(0,T;V)$ with $\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}/\partial t \in L^2(0,T;V')$, $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}^1(Q \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$.

The following uniform estimates hold true.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, p_{\varepsilon,\lambda})$ be the solution to* (2.34)-(2.38). *Then,*

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|v|^2) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx dv + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2 dx + 2\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v|^2 dx dv d\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \le C \tag{2.39}$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, where $C > 0$ is independent of both λ and ε. Moreover if $f^0 \in L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, $(1 \le p \le \infty)$, then

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))} \le \exp(NT) \left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ for any } \lambda, \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2.40}$$

It also holds that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)} \le C \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda,\varepsilon>0} \|p_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C. \tag{2.42}$$

Proof. The inequality (2.40) has already been obtained (see Eq. (2.11)). Let us now check (2.39). We multiply (1.1) by $\frac{1}{2}|v|^2$ and (1.2) by $\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$, and we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx dv + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2 dx \right] + \int_\Omega (A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dx + \int_\Omega E_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x) dx = 0, \tag{2.43}$$

where we set

$$E_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dv + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v \cdot \nabla f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}) |v|^2 dv + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 \operatorname{div}_v((\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v) f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}) dv.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v \cdot \nabla f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}) |v|^2 dv dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nu) d\sigma dv \\ &= \int_{\{v\cdot\nu>0\}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nu) d\sigma dv + \int_{\{v\cdot\nu<0\}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nu) d\sigma dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v^* = v - 2(v \cdot \nu)\nu$, it holds that $v^* \cdot \nu = -v \cdot \nu$, $|v^*|^2 = |v|^2$ and $dv^* = dv$. Thus, because of the reflection condition (2.38) on $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$, we have

$$\int_{\{v\cdot\nu<0\}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nu) d\sigma dv = -\int_{\{v^*\cdot\nu>0\}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x,v^*) |v^*|^2 (v^* \cdot \nu) d\sigma dv^*,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\partial\Omega} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left|v\right|^2(v\cdot\nu)d\sigma dv=0.$$

Also, the following identity holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2\operatorname{div}_v((\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda-v)f_{\varepsilon,\lambda})dv=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda-v)\cdot vdv.$$

It therefore follows that

$$\int_\Omega E_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t,x)dx=\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda-v\right|^2dxdv.$$

Integrating Eq.(2.43) over $(0,t)$ and using the assumption (**A1**), we are lead to

$$\begin{array}{l}\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxdv+2\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda-v\right|^2dxdvd\tau+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|^2dx+2\alpha\int_0^t\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|^2dxd\tau\\ \quad\le-2\int_0^t\int_\Omega(A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda})\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxd\tau+\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2 f_\lambda^0dxdv+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}^0\right|^2dx.\end{array}$$

Now, using Young's inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{array}{rl}2\int_0^t\int_\Omega(A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda})\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxd\tau&=2\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\left(\int_\Omega A_1^\varepsilon(\tau-s)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)dx\right)ds\right)d\tau\\ &\le\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\frac{\tau}{\alpha}\left\|A_1^\varepsilon(\tau-s)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds+\int_0^\tau\frac{\alpha}{\tau}\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau\\ &\le\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\frac{c_1}{\alpha}\tau\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds+\int_0^\tau\frac{\alpha}{\tau}\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau\\ &=\alpha\int_0^t\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau+\int_0^t\left(\frac{c_1}{\alpha}\tau\int_0^\tau\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau\\ &\le\alpha\int_0^t\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau+\frac{c_1}{\alpha}t\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau\text{ since }0\le\tau\le t,\end{array}$$

where $c_1=\sup_{(t,x)\overline{Q}}\left\|A_1(t,x,\cdot,\cdot)\right\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})^{N^2}}<\infty$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{l}\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxdv+2\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda-v\right|^2\ dxdvd\tau+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|^2dx+\alpha\int_0^t\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ \quad\le\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2 f_\lambda^0dxdv+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}^0\right|^2dx+\frac{c_1}{\alpha}t\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau.\end{array}\tag{2.44}$$

We infer from Eq.(2.44) that

$$\alpha\int_0^t\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\le c_2+\frac{c_1}{\alpha}t\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds\right)d\tau$$

where

$$c_2=\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}\left|v\right|^2 f_\lambda^0dxdv+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}^0\right|^2dx\le C(N,p)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)}+\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{u}^0\right|^2dx<\infty.$$

It readily follows from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\displaystyle\int_0^t\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau&\le&\displaystyle\exp\left(\int_0^t\frac{c_1\tau}{\alpha^2}d\tau\right)\left[\int_0^t\frac{c_2}{\alpha}\exp\left(-\int_0^s\frac{c_1\tau}{\alpha^2}d\tau\right)ds\right]\\ &=&\displaystyle\exp\left(\frac{c_1t^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)\left[\frac{c_2}{\alpha}\int_0^t\exp\left(-\frac{c_1}{2\alpha^2}s^2\right)ds\right]\\ &\le&\displaystyle\frac{c_2}{\alpha}\exp\left(\frac{c_1t^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)\int_0^\infty\exp\left(-\frac{c_1}{2\alpha^2}s^2\right)ds\\ &=&\displaystyle\frac{c_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c_1}}\exp\left(\frac{c_1t^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)\text{ for all }0\le t\le T.\end{array}$$

Setting

$$c_3=\frac{c_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c_1}}\exp\left(\frac{c_1T^2}{2\alpha^2}\right),$$

we get

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\tau \leq c_3,$$

and the above inequality entails

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdv + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2 dx + 2\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v|^2 dxdvd\tau \leq c_2 + c_3 T.$$

We deduce Eq. (2.39) by letting $C = c_2 + c_3 T$.

The uniform estimate (2.41) is obtained as Eq. (2.33), and Eq. (2.42) follows in a trivial manner (see e.g. [7]). This concludes the proof. □

2.5. **Passing to the limit $\lambda \to 0$.** We wish to pass to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$ in the sequence of solutions $(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}, f_{\varepsilon,\lambda})$ in order to prove the existence of the solution to our initial problem (1.1)-(1.5). Owing to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C \text{ for all } 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)} \leq C,\ \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \text{ and } \left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)} \leq C.$$

Using the above uniform estimates (in λ), we deduce that, given an ordinary sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ (with $0 < \lambda_n \leq 1$, $\lambda_n \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$, which we denote by $\lambda \to 0$), there exist a subsequence of λ (still denoted by λ), functions $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$, $f_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $p_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ such that, as $\lambda \to 0$,

$$f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to f_\varepsilon \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))\text{-weak } *, \tag{2.45}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \text{ in } L^2(0,T;V)\text{-weak}, \tag{2.46}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H)\text{-strong}, \tag{2.47}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}{\partial t} \to \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)\text{-weak} \tag{2.48}$$

and

$$p_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to p_\varepsilon \text{ in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})\text{-weak}. \tag{2.49}$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O} = Q \times \mathbb{R}^N_v$. We multiply the Vlasov equation (2.34) by ϕ and integrate by parts to get

$$-\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v\cdot\nabla\phi + (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda - v)\cdot\nabla_v\phi\right] dxdtdv = 0. \tag{2.50}$$

We consider the terms in (2.50) respectively. It is easy to see that, as $\lambda \to 0$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} dxdtdv \to \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} dxdtdv.$$

For the second and fourth terms, since the functions $(t,x,v) \mapsto v\cdot\nabla\phi$ and $(t,x,v) \mapsto v\cdot\nabla_v\phi$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, we use them as test functions to get, as $\lambda \to 0$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left[\varepsilon v\cdot\nabla\phi - v\cdot\nabla_v\phi\right] dxdtdv \to \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon\left[\varepsilon v\cdot\nabla\phi - v\cdot\nabla_v\phi\right] dxdtdv.$$

Now, as for the term $\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda)\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv$, we claim that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} * \theta_\lambda)\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv \to \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv. \tag{2.51}$$

Indeed it is sufficient to prove that, under the convergence result (2.47) and for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})^N$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot\psi dxdtdv \to \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\psi dxdtdv \tag{2.52}$$

and to apply it with $\psi = \nabla_v\phi$. For the proof of (2.52), we refer to the proof of a more involved result, Lemma 4.1 in Section 4.

Returning to (2.51), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda)\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\left[(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)*\theta_\lambda\right]\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon*\theta_\lambda)\cdot\nabla_v\phi dxdtdv\\ &= (I)+(II).\end{aligned}$$

For the term (I), we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|(I)| &\leq \|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\|\nabla_v\phi\|_\infty\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}\|\theta_\lambda\|_{L^1(Q)}\\ &\leq C\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)},\end{aligned}$$

in which C is a positive constant independent of ε and λ. Thus, it follows from (2.47) that $(I)\to 0$. Regarding (II), we have that $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon*\theta_\lambda\to\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ in $L^2(Q)$-strong (use once again (2.47)), so that by (2.52) we arrive at $(II)\to\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_v\phi\, dxdtdv$. (2.51) follows thereby.

Taking into account all the above convergence results and passing to the limit in (2.50) as $\lambda\to 0$, we obtain

$$-\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}+\varepsilon v\cdot\nabla\phi+(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon-v)\cdot\nabla_v\phi\right]dxdtdv=0,$$

which amounts to

$$\frac{\partial f_\varepsilon}{\partial t}+\varepsilon v\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon+\mathrm{div}_v\left((\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon-v)f_\varepsilon\right)=0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O}).$$

Proceeding as in [21, Section 4] we recover the reflection boundary condition

$$f_\varepsilon(t,x,v)=f_\varepsilon(t,x,v^*) \text{ for } x\in\partial\Omega \text{ with } v\cdot\nu(x)<0.$$

Next using the inequality $1-\gamma_\lambda(v)\leq 1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\lambda\}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}(1-\gamma_\lambda(v))(f^0*\Theta_\lambda)dxdv\right| &\leq \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\lambda\}}(f^0*\Theta_\lambda)dxdv\\ &\leq 4\lambda^2\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2(f^0*\Theta_\lambda)dxdv\\ &\leq C\lambda^2\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)};\ \text{see (2.12)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.53}$$

So, the functions $f^0*\Theta_\lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda(v)(f^0*\Theta_\lambda)$ have the same L^1-limit f^0 as $\lambda\to 0$. Hence, letting $\lambda\to 0$, we arrive at

$$f_\varepsilon(0,x,v)=f^0(x,v) \text{ for } (x,v)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let us now deal with the Stokes system (2.35). We choose $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N$ and multiply (2.35) by ψ and integrate over Q;

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_Q\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot\tfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Phi}}{\partial t}dxdt+\int_Q A_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdt+\int_Q(A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda})\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdt\\ &\quad-\int_Q p_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\,\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdt=-\int_{\mathcal{O}}\gamma_\lambda(v)f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdtdv.\end{aligned}\tag{2.54}$$

In Eq.(2.54), only the right-hand side is more involved. However, proceeding as in (2.53), one can check that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}}\gamma_\lambda(v)f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdtdv$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}}f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}-v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdtdv$$

have the same limit, which is, using (2.52) and the convergence results (2.45)-(2.49), nothing else but

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}}f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon-v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}dxdtdv.$$

Thus, passing to the limit in (2.54), we realize that $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ solves the equation

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau,x)\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau,x)d\tau\right) + \nabla p_\varepsilon = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)f_\varepsilon dv \text{ in } Q.$$

We also obtain the initial condition $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(0,x) = \boldsymbol{u}^0(x)$, $x \in \Omega$.

We have just shown that $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ solves the system (1.1)-(1.5). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1

It remains to check that the above triple verifies the same estimates as in Lemma 2.1. As we are going to see below, this is a mere consequence of the following well known result:

- If B is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $f_n \to f$ in B-weak or weak$*$, then $\|f\| \le \liminf \|f_n\|$.

We can therefore state the counterpart of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ be the solution to* (1.1)-(1.5) *constructed in Subsection* 2.5. *Then,*

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}(1+|v|^2)f_\varepsilon dxdv + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dx + 2\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v|^2 dxdvd\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \le C \quad (2.55)$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, where $C > 0$ is independent of ε. Moreover if $f^0 \in L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(1 \le p \le \infty)$, then

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))} \le \exp(NT)\left\|f^0\right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.56)$$

It also holds that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N)} \le C \quad (2.57)$$

and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C. \quad (2.58)$$

Proof. We follow arguments similar to those in [4]. First and foremost, we have by (2.39) that

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}(1+|v|^2)f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxdv + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|^2 dx + 2\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda - v|^2 dxdvd\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \le C. \quad (2.59)$$

The only term to deal with is actually $\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda - v|^2 dxdvd\tau$ which we write as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda - v|^2 dxdvd\tau &= \int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 dxdvd\tau \\ &\quad -2\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda)\cdot v dxdvd\tau \\ &\quad +\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}|v|^2 dxdvd\tau \\ &= (I) - 2(II) + (III).\end{aligned}$$

Concerning (III), we know that $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to f_\varepsilon$ in $L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))$-weak$*$. Let $0 < \eta < 1$. Then because of (2.39) we have

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v)dxdv \le \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxdv \le C.$$

Hence there exists a function $g_\eta \in L^\infty([0,t])$ such that, up to a subsequence of $\lambda \to 0$, setting $M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta)(\tau) = \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v)dxdv$,

$$M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta) \to g_\eta \text{ in } L^\infty([0,t])\text{-weak}*;$$

thus

$$\|g_\eta\|_{L^\infty([0,t])} \le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0}\int_0^t M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta)(\tau)d\tau \le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0}\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}dxdvd\tau.$$

On the other hand, the weak$*$ convergence $f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \to f_\varepsilon$ in $L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))$ implies

$$M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta) \to M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta) = \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_\varepsilon \gamma_\eta(v) dxdv \text{ in } L^\infty([0,t])\text{-weak}*$$

since the product of a function $\chi \in L^1([0,t])$ by $|v|^2\gamma_\eta$ lies in $L^1((0,t)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$. The uniqueness of the weak$*$-limit yields $M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta)(\tau) = g_\eta(\tau)$ a.e. $\tau\in(0,t)$. It therefore follows from the Fatou's lemma and from the fact that $|v|^2 f_\varepsilon\gamma_\eta(v) \to |v|^2 f_\varepsilon$ as $\eta\to 0$, that

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_\varepsilon dxdv \le \liminf_{\eta\to 0} M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta)(\tau) = \liminf_{\eta\to 0} g_\eta(\tau) \le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0} \|M_2(f_{\varepsilon,\lambda})\|_{L^\infty(0,t)},$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_\varepsilon dxdv \le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0} \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdv,$$

whence

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_\varepsilon dxdvd\tau \le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdvd\tau.$$

As for the first term (I), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 dxdvd\tau &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(1-\gamma_\eta(v)) dxdvd\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau \\ &\ge \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau.\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed η,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau \to \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 f_\varepsilon\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau$$

when $\lambda\to 0$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $|\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2\gamma_\eta \to |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 f_\varepsilon\gamma_\eta$ in $L^1((0,t)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)$-strong as $\lambda\to 0$, so that combining this with (2.45) (for $p=\infty$) we get our result. Thus, using once again Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 f_\varepsilon dxdvd\tau &\le \liminf_{\eta\to 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 f_\varepsilon\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau \\ &= \liminf_{\eta\to 0}\liminf_{\lambda\to 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v) dxdvd\tau \\ &\le \liminf_{\lambda\to 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda|^2 f_{\varepsilon,\lambda} dxdvd\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for (II), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda)\cdot v dxdvd\tau &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda - \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot v dxdvd\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot v dxdvd\tau \\ &= (A)+(B).\end{aligned}$$

Dealing with (A), we have, by setting $\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}*\theta_\lambda - \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$,

$$(A) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(1-\gamma_\eta(v))\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v)\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau,$$

and using the inequality $1-\gamma_\eta(v)\leq 1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(1-\gamma_\eta(v))\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau\right| &\leq \int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right||v|\,dxdvd\tau\\ &\leq \int_0^t\int_\Omega\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|\,f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}dv\right]\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|dxd\tau\\ &\leq \int_0^t\left\{\left(\int_\Omega\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|\,f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}dv\right)^{\frac{6}{5}}dx\right)^{\frac{5}{6}}\left(\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|^6dx\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}\right\}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|\,f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}dv\right)^{\frac{6}{5}}dx &\leq C(\Omega)\left(\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|\,f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}dvdx\right)^{\frac{6}{5}}\\ &\leq C(\Omega)\lambda^{\frac{6}{5}}\left(\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2\,f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}1_{\{|v|\geq 1/2\eta\}}dvdx\right)^{\frac{6}{5}}\\ &\leq C\lambda^{\frac{6}{5}}\text{ because of (2.39).}\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N)\hookrightarrow L^1(0,T;L^6(\Omega)^N)$, it follows from (2.39) that

$$\int_0^t\left(\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|^6dx\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}d\tau\leq C,$$

so that

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(1-\gamma_\eta(v))\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau\right|\leq C\lambda.$$

It follows that $\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(1-\gamma_\eta(v))\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau\to 0$ as $\lambda\to 0$.

We claim that

$$\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v)\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau\to 0\text{ as }\lambda\to 0.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\gamma_\eta(v)\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\cdot v dxdvd\tau\right| &\leq \left\|f_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_{B(0,2)}|v|\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|dvdxd\tau\\ &\leq C\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_{B(0,2)}|v|\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|dvdxd\tau\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_{B(0,2)}|v|\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|dvdxd\tau\leq 2\left|B(0,2)\right|\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\to 0\text{ as }\lambda\to 0$$

since $\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\to 0$ in $L^2(Q)$ as $\lambda\to 0$. It follows that $(A)\to 0$ as $\lambda\to 0$. We use the same kind of arguments to show that $(B)\to\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot v dxdvd\tau$, that is,

$$(II)\to\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot v dxdvd\tau.$$

Coming back to (2.59) and taking there the $\liminf$ as $\lambda\to 0$, we get at once (2.55). The lemma follows thereby. □

Remark 2.2. We observe that the sequence $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)dv\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q)^N$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)dv\right| dxdt &\leq \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\varepsilon \left|\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v\right| dvdxdt \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sqrt{f_\varepsilon}(1+|v|)\sqrt{f_\varepsilon}\frac{|\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v|}{(1+|v|)}dvdxdt \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon(1+|v|^2)dxdvdt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{f_\varepsilon\left|\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v\right|^2}{(1+|v|)^2}dvdxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\text{ see estimate (2.55) of Lemma 2.2.})\end{aligned}$$

3. Brief introduction to Σ-convergence

This section is far from being a comprehensive introduction to Σ-convergence. It is rather a pretext for fixing notations and recalling fundamental results pertaining to Σ-convergence. We shall restrict ourselves to concepts relevant to our context.

3.1. **Algebras with mean value - An overview.** We refer the reader to [5, 23, 36, 39] for an extensive presentation of the concept of algebras with mean value (algebras wmv, in short).

Let A be an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N$, that is, a closed subalgebra of the $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which contains the constants, is translation invariant and is such that any of its elements possesses a mean value in the following sense: for any $u \in A$, the sequence $(u^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ (defined by $u^\varepsilon(x) = u(x/\varepsilon)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$) weakly$*$-converges in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to some constant real function $M(u)$ (called the mean value of u) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We denote by $\Delta(A)$ the spectrum of A and by $\mathcal{G}$ the Gelfand transformation on A. Let $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ denote the Besicovitch space associated to A, that is, the closure of A with respect to the Besicovitch seminorm

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\limsup_{r\to+\infty}\frac{1}{|B_r|}\int_{B_r}|u(y)|^p\,dy\right)^{1/p}$$

where B_r is the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$ centered at the origin and of radius $r > 0$. We set

$$B_A^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in \cap_{1\leq p<\infty} B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : \sup_{1\leq p<\infty}\|f\|_p < \infty\}$$

and we endow it with the seminorm $[f]_\infty = \sup_{1\leq p<\infty}\|f\|_p$. So topologized, the spaces $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ are complete seminormed vector spaces which are not in general Fréchet spaces since they are not separated in general. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the completion of $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and with respect to $[\cdot]_\infty$ for $p = \infty$. The following hold true [24, 29]:

- **(1)** The Gelfand transformation $\mathcal{G} : A \to \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ extends by continuity to a unique continuous linear mapping (still denoted by $\mathcal{G}$) of $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^p(\Delta(A))$, which in turn induces an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1$ of $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)/\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ onto $L^p(\Delta(A))$ (where $\mathcal{N} = \{u \in B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathcal{G}(u) = 0\}$). Moreover if $u \in B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then $\mathcal{G}(u) \in L^\infty(\Delta(A))$ and $\|\mathcal{G}(u)\|_{L^\infty(\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.
- **(2)** The mean value M defined on A, extends by continuity to a positive continuous linear form (still denoted by M) on $B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A)}\mathcal{G}(u)d\beta$ $(u \in B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Furthermore, $M(\tau_a u) = M(u)$ for each $u \in B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\tau_a u = u(\cdot + a)$. Moreover for $u \in B_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have $\|u\|_p = [M(|u|^p)]^{1/p}$, and for $u + \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we may still define its mean value once again denoted by M, as $M(u+\mathcal{N}) = M(u)$.

For $u = v + \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define in a natural way the translate $\tau_y u = v(\cdot + y) + \mathcal{N}$ of u, and as it can be seen in [34, 36], this is well defined and induces a strongly continuous N-parameter group of isometries $T(y) : \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by $T(y)u = \tau_y u$. We denote by $\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$ the infinitesimal generator of $T(y)$ along the ith coordinate direction. We refer the reader to

[34, 36] for the properties of $\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i$ as well as for those of the associated Sobolev-type spaces $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, let A be an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N$. For $\mu \in \Delta(A)$ and $f \in A$, define $T_\mu f$ by $T_\mu f(y) = \mu(\tau_y f)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. $T_\mu f$ is well defined as an element of $\mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ since A is translation invariant. Whence a bounded linear operator $T_\mu : A \to \mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Definition 3.1. The algebra wmv A is said to be *introverted* if $T_\mu(A) \subset A$ for any $\mu \in \Delta(A)$.

Let A be an introverted algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then [34, Theorem 3.2] its spectrum $\Delta(A)$ is a compact topological semigroup. In order to simplify the notations, the semigroup operation in $\Delta(A)$ is additively written. With this in mind, set

$$K(A) = \cap_{s\in\Delta(A)}(s + \Delta(A)), \text{ the } \textit{kernel} \text{ of } \Delta(A).$$

The following result provides us with the structure of $K(A)$.

Theorem 3.1 ([34, Theorem 3.4])**.** *Let A be an introverted algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then*

(i) *$K(A)$ is a compact topological group.*
(ii) *The mean value M on A can be identified as the Haar integral over $K(A)$.*

With the help of Theorem 3.1, we can define the convolution over $\Delta(A)$ in terms of its kernel $K(A)$. Indeed, as proved in [34], we have $r + s \in K(A)$ whenever $r \in \Delta(A)$ and $s \in K(A)$. Thus, let $p, q, m \geq 1$ be real numbers satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$. For $u \in L^p(\Delta(A))$ and $v \in L^q(\Delta(A))$ we define the convolution product $u\widehat{*}v$ as follows:

$$(u\widehat{*}v)(s) = \int_{K(A)} u(r)v(s-r)d\beta(r), \text{ a.e. } s \in \Delta(A),$$

where $-r$ stands for the inverse of $r \in K(A)$ (recall that $K(A)$ is an Abelian group). Then $\widehat{*}$ is well defined since $K(A)$ is an ideal of $\Delta(A)$, and we have that $\int_{K(A)} u(r)v(s-r)d\beta(r) = \int_{\Delta(A)} u(r)v(s-r)d\beta(r)$ since β is supported by $K(A)$. Indeed for $s \in \Delta(A)$ and $r \in K(A)$, $-r$ exists in $K(A)$ and $s - r \in K(\Delta(A))$. It holds that $u\widehat{*}v \in L^m(\Delta(A))$ and further:

$$\|u\widehat{*}v\|_{L^m(\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))} \|v\|_{L^q(\Delta(A))}.$$

Now let $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; L^p(\Delta(A)))$ and $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; L^q(\Delta(A)))$. We define the double convolution $u * *v$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(u * *v)(x,s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[(u(t,\cdot)\widehat{*}v(x-t,\cdot))\,(s)\right] dt \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{K(A)} u(t,r)v(x-t,s-r)d\beta(r)\,dt, \text{ a.e. } (x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \Delta(A).\end{aligned}$$

Then $**$ is well defined as an element of $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N \times \Delta(A))$ and satisfies

$$\|u * *v\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R}^N\times\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\times\Delta(A))} \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N\times\Delta(A))}.$$

It is to be noted that if $u \in L^p(\Omega; L^p(\Delta(A)))$, and $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; L^q(\Delta(A)))$, we still define $u * *v$ by replacing u by its zero extension over $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Finally, for $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{B}_A^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{B}_A^q(\mathbb{R}^N))$ we define the double convolution still denoted by $**$ as follows: $u * *v$ is that element of $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{B}_A^m(\mathbb{R}^N))$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_1(u * *v) = \widehat{u} * *\widehat{v}.$$

3.2. **Σ-convergence method.** Throughout this section, Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, and unless otherwise specified, A is an algebra with mean value on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Definition 3.2. (1) A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is said to *weakly Σ-converge* in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ if as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x)\, dx \to \iint_{\Omega\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(x,s)\, \widehat{f}(x,s)\; dx d\beta(s) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega; A)$ $(1/p' = 1 - 1/p)$ where $f^\varepsilon(x) = f(x, x/\varepsilon)$ and $\widehat{f}(x,\cdot) = \mathcal{G}(f(x,\cdot))$ *a.e.* in $x \in \Omega$. We denote this by $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak Σ.
(2) A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is said to *strongly Σ-converge* in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ if it is weakly Σ-convergent and further satisfies the following condition:

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \to \|\widehat{u}_0\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\Delta(A))}.$$

We denote this by $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$-strong Σ.

We recall here that $\widehat{u}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ u_0$ and $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{G} \circ f$, $\mathcal{G}_1$ being the isometric isomorphism sending $\mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ onto $L^p(\Delta(A))$ and $\mathcal{G}$, the Gelfand transformation on A.

In the sequel the letter E will throughout denote any ordinary sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ (integers $n \geq 0$) with $0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The following two results hold (see e.g. [5, 24, 29] for their justification).

Theorem 3.2. (i) *Any bounded sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ (for $1 < p < \infty$) admits a subsequence which is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^p(\Omega)$.*
(ii) *Any uniformly integrable sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ admits a subsequence which is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^1(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exist a subsequence E' of E, and a couple $(u_0, u_1) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma;$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma,\ 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Remark 3.1. In the above result, $I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ stands for the space of invariant functions in $\mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the group of transformation $T(y)$ of the preceding subsection: $u \in I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $\overline{\nabla}_y u = 0$. If we assume the algebra A to be ergodic, then $I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ consists of constant functions, so that the function u_0 in Theorem 3.3 does not depend on y, that is, $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We thus recover the already known result proved in [29] in the case of ergodic algebras.

The next result deals with the Σ-convergence of a product of sequences.

Theorem 3.4 ([30, Theorem 6])**.** *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r \geq 1$ be such that $1/r = 1/p + 1/q \leq 1$. Assume $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^q(\Omega)$ is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^q(\Omega)$ to some $u_0 \in L^q(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^q_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$, and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is strongly Σ-convergent in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some $v_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Then the sequence $(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^r(\Omega)$ to $u_0 v_0$.*

As a consequence of the above theorem the following holds.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^{p'}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ $(1 < p < \infty$ and $p' = p/(p-1))$ be two sequences such that:* (i) *$u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak Σ;* (ii) *$v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$-strong Σ;* (iii) *$(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to u_0 v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak Σ.*

Now, assume that the algebra A is introverted. Then its spectrum is a compact topological semigroup whose kernel is a compact topological group, so that we can define, as in the preceding subsection, the convolution over $\Delta(A)$. Our aim in the next result is to link the Σ-convergence concept to the convolution over the spectrum $\Delta(A)$ of A. To see this, let $p, q, m \geq 1$ be real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$. Let

$(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be two sequences. One may view u_ε as defined in the whole $\mathbb{R}^N$ by taking its extension by zero outside Ω. Define

$$(u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) v_\varepsilon(x-t)\, dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

which lies in $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

Theorem 3.5 ([34, Theorem 6.2])**.** *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be as above. Assume that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak Σ and $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$-strong Σ, where u_0 and v_0 are in $L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{B}^q_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ respectively. Assume further that the algebra wmv A is introverted. Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon \to u_0 * * v_0 \text{ in } L^m(\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma.$$

In practice, one deals with the evolutionary version of the concept of Σ-convergence. Such concept requires some further notions such as those related to the product of algebras with mean value. Let A_y (resp. A_τ) be an algebra with mean value on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_\tau$). We define their product denoted by $A = A_\tau \odot A_y$ as the closure in $BUC(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})$ of the tensor product $A_\tau \otimes A_y = \{\sum_{\text{finite}} u_i \otimes v_i : u_i \in A_\tau,\ v_i \in A_y\}$. It is a well known fact that $A_y \odot A_\tau$ is an algebra with mean value on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ (see e.g. [23, 24]).

With this in mind, let $A = A_\tau \odot A_y$ be as above. The same letter $\mathcal{G}$ will denote the Gelfand transformation on A_y, A_τ and A, as well. Points in $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$) are denoted by s (resp. s_0). The compact space $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$) is equipped with the M-measure β_y (resp. β_τ), for A_y (resp. A_τ). We have $\Delta(A) = \Delta(A_\tau) \times \Delta(A_y)$ (Cartesian product) and the M-measure for A, with which $\Delta(A)$ is equipped, is precisely the product measure $\beta = \beta_\tau \otimes \beta_y$ (see [23]). Finally, let $0 < T < \infty$. We set $Q = (0,T) \times \Omega$ as in Section 1 (an open cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$) and $\mathcal{O} = Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

This being so, a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ is said to weakly Σ-converge in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}))$ if as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q u_\varepsilon(t,x)\, f\left(t, x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dxdt \to \iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(t,x,s_0,s)\, \widehat{f}(t,x,s_0,s)\ dxdtd\beta$$

for all $f \in L^{p'}(Q; A)$. We may also define the weak Σ-convergence in $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ as follows: $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\mathcal{O})$ weakly Σ-converges to $u_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{O}; \mathcal{B}^p_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}))$ if

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} u_\varepsilon(t,x,v)\, f\left(t, x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v\right) dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(t,x,s_0,s,v)\, \widehat{f}(t,x,s_0,s,v)\ dxdtdvd\beta$$

for any $f \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{O}; A)$.

Remark 3.2. The conclusions of Theorems 3.2-3.5 are still valid mutatis mutandis in the present context (change Ω into Q in Theorem 3.2, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, $W^{1,p}(\Omega; I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^N))$ into $L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}(\Omega; I^p_A(\mathbb{R}^N))) \times L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N))))$, provided A is introverted in Theorem 3.5.

4. Homogenization results

Throughout this section, we consider the algebras wmv A_y and A_τ to be as in the end of the preceding section. We further assume that A_τ is introverted.

With this in mind, let $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be the sequence of solutions to (1.1)-(1.5). In view of Lemma 2.2, there is a positive constant C independent of $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left\| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;V')} \le C.$$

This, together with the inequality (2.55) in Lemma 2.2 entail the precompactness of the sequence $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ in $L^2(0,T;H)$. Thus, given an ordinary sequence E, there are a subsequence E' of E and a function $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in L^2(Q)^N$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N. \tag{4.1}$$

In view of (2.55) and by the diagonal process, one can find a subsequence of $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ (not relabeled) which weakly converges in $L^2(0,T;V)$ to the function $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ (this means that $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in L^2(0,T;V)$). From Theorem 3.3, we infer the existence of a function $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = (u_1^k)_{1\le k\le N} \in L^2(Q;\mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau;\mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\#A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N_y))^N)$ such that the convergence result

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial}\boldsymbol{u}_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N\text{-weak } \Sigma \ (1\le i\le N) \tag{4.2}$$

holds when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Still from Lemma 2.2 (see (2.56) for $m=2$ and (2.58) therein) there exist a subsequence of E' (still denoted by E') and two functions $f_0 \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N;\mathcal{B}^2_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})))$, $p \in L^2(Q;\mathcal{B}^2_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}))$ with $\int_\Omega p dx = 0$ such that, as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$f_\varepsilon \to f_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q\times\mathbb{R}^N)\text{-weak } \Sigma \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$p_\varepsilon \to p \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma. \tag{4.4}$$

We recall that $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}\right)_{1\le k\le N}$ ($\boldsymbol{u}_0 = (u_0^k)_{1\le k\le N}$) and $\frac{\overline{\partial}\boldsymbol{u}_1}{\partial y_i} = \left(\frac{\overline{\partial}u_1^k}{\partial y_i}\right)_{1\le k\le N}$.

Our goal in this section is the study of the asymptotics (as $\varepsilon\to 0$) of $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ under the following additional assumption

(**A3**) $A_i(t,x,\cdot,\cdot) \in \left[B^2_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})\right]^{N^2}$ for $i=0,1$ and for all $(t,x)\in\overline{Q}$.

4.1. **Passing to the limit $\varepsilon\to 0$.** Let us first find the equation satisfied by f_0. To that end, let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})\otimes A^\infty$ (where we recall that $\mathcal{O} = Q\times\mathbb{R}^N_v$ with $Q=(0,T)\times\Omega$) and define $\phi^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ by $\phi^\varepsilon(t,x,v) = \phi(t,x,t/\varepsilon,x/\varepsilon,v)$ for $(t,x,v)\in\mathcal{O}$. Multiplying the Vlasov equation (1.1) by ϕ^ε and integrating by parts,

$$-\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \left[\frac{\partial\phi^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \varepsilon v\cdot\nabla\phi^\varepsilon + (\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)\cdot\nabla_v\phi^\varepsilon\right] dxdtdv = 0.$$

The above equation is equivalent to the following one

$$-\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon + \varepsilon v\cdot(\nabla\phi)^\varepsilon + v\cdot(\nabla_y\phi)^\varepsilon + (\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)\cdot(\nabla_v\phi)^\varepsilon\right] dxdtdv = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Multiplying the above equation by ε and letting $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ (where E' is as above), we end up with (using the fact that the sequence $(f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v))_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^1(\mathcal{O})$; see Remark 2.2)

$$\iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}_0\partial_0\widehat{\phi}\, dxdtdvd\beta = 0$$

where $\partial_0 = \mathcal{G}_1\circ\frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial\tau}$. It follows that $\frac{\overline{\partial} f_0}{\partial\tau} = 0$, which amounts to say that f_0 does not depends on τ. Indeed this is equivalent to $f_0 \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N;\mathcal{B}^2_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N;I^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau))))$, and since A_τ is introverted, it is ergodic [34, Remark 3.3], so that $I^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ consists of constants. This means that the test functions ϕ may be chosen independent of $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$, that is, $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})\otimes A_y^\infty$ and so, $\phi^\varepsilon(t,x,v) = \phi(t,x,x/\varepsilon,v)$ for $(t,x,v)\in\mathcal{O}$. Before we can pass to the limit in (4.5), we notice that the function $(t,x,v,y)\mapsto v\cdot\nabla_v\phi$ lies in $L^\infty(\mathcal{O};A_y)$ since it trivially lies in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})\otimes A_y^\infty$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon v\cdot(\nabla_v\phi)^\varepsilon\, dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0 v\cdot\nabla_v\widehat{\phi}\, dxdtdvd\beta_y. \tag{4.6}$$

In order to pass to the limit in the term $\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot(\nabla_v\phi)^\varepsilon\ dxdtdv$, we need the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ and f_0 be as in* (4.1) *and* (4.3)*, respectively. Then for any $\boldsymbol{\psi}\in(\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})\otimes A_y^\infty)^N$,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}^\varepsilon dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0\boldsymbol{u}_0\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}\, dxdtdvd\beta_y \tag{4.7}$$

as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$.

Proof. First assume $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_i)_{1\le i\le N}$ with $\psi_i = \varphi_i \otimes \chi_i \otimes w_i$ with $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$, $\chi_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_v^N)$ and $w_i \in A_y^\infty$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}^\varepsilon dxdtdv = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon(t,x,v) u_\varepsilon^i(t,x)\chi_i(v)\varphi_i(t,x) w_i\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\, dxdtdv.$$

Set $U_\varepsilon^i(t,x,v) = u_\varepsilon^i(t,x)\chi_i(v)$ for $(t,x,v) \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$U_\varepsilon^i \to U_0^i \equiv u_0^i \otimes \chi_i \text{ in } L^2(\mathcal{O})\text{-strong as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Indeed, since

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|U_\varepsilon^i - U_0^i\right|^2 dxdtdv \le \int_{\mathbb{R}_v^N} |\chi_i(v)|^2\, dv \int_Q \left|u_\varepsilon^i - u_0^i\right|^2\, dxdt,$$

the claim follows from Eq. (4.1). Thus, we infer from Eq. (4.3) and Theorem 3.4 that

$$f_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^i \to f_0 U_0^i \text{ in } L^1(\mathcal{O})\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Hence, by choosing the special test function $\varphi_i \otimes w_i \otimes 1_{\mathbb{R}_v^N} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O}; A_y)$, we are led to

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon(t,x,v) u_\varepsilon^i(t,x)\chi_i(v)\varphi_i(t,x) w_i\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\, dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0 u_0^i \chi_i \varphi_i \widehat{w}_i\, dxdtdvd\beta_y,$$

or,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}^\varepsilon dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0 \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}\, dxdtdvd\beta_y.$$

Now, by some routine computations, the result follows at once from the density of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_v^N)\otimes A_y^\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})\otimes A_y^\infty$. □

As a consequence of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot (\nabla_v \phi)^\varepsilon\, dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0 \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \widehat{\nabla_v \phi}\, dxdtdvd\beta_y.$$

Returning to (4.5) and taking the limit when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we arrive at

$$-\iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{f}_0 \left[\frac{\partial \widehat{\phi}}{\partial t} + v\cdot\partial\widehat{\phi} + (\boldsymbol{u}_0 - v)\cdot\nabla_v\widehat{\phi}\right]\, dxdtdvd\beta_y = 0,$$

where $\partial\widehat{\phi} = \mathcal{G}\circ\nabla_y\phi$. This gives rise to the following equation satisfied by f_0:

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + v\cdot\overline{\nabla}_y f_0 + \operatorname{div}_v\left((\boldsymbol{u}_0 - v) f_0\right) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}_y^N. \tag{4.8}$$

Following the lines of [21, Section 4] we prove, by choosing suitable test functions, that the function f_0 satisfies the following reflection boundary and initial conditions

$$f_0(t,x,y,v) = f_0(t,x,y,v^*) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ with } v\cdot\nu(x) < 0 \tag{4.9}$$

where $v^* = v - 2(v\cdot\nu(x))\nu(x)$,

$$f_0(0,x,y,v) = f^0(x,v) \text{ for } (x,y,v) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}_y^N\times\mathbb{R}_v^N \tag{4.10}$$

We consider now the Stokes system (1.2)-(1.3). Choosing $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 = (\psi_0^k)_{1\le k\le N} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1 = (\psi_1^k)_{1\le k\le N} \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes A^\infty]^N$, we set $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1)$ and define $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + \varepsilon\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\varepsilon$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t,x) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t,x) + \varepsilon\boldsymbol{\psi}_1\left(t,x,\frac{t}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \text{ for } (t,x)\in Q.$$

It can be checked that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N$. By plugging $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon$ into the variational formulation of (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} -\int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} dxdt + \int_Q A_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt + \int_Q (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\, dxdt \\ \quad -\int_Q p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt = -\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\, dxdtdv. \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

Our immediate goal is to pass to the limit in the above equation. We deal with its constituents in turn. Owing to Eq. (4.1), as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ in the first term in the left-hand side of Eq. (4.11), we have,

$$\int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} dxdt \to \int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial t}\, dxdt.$$

For the next term, one easily shows that as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N\text{-strong } \Sigma \ \ (1 \le i \le N).$$

Combining the above convergence result with Eq. (4.2), we deduce from Corollary 3.1 that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\overline{\partial} \boldsymbol{u}_1}{\partial y_j}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_1}{\partial y_i}\right) \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma.$$

Passing to the limit in the above mentioned term using A_0 as a test function (recall that $A_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; [B^2_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})]^{N^2})$ by assumption (**A3**) so that in view of [30, Proposition 8], it is an admissible test function in the sense of [30, Definition 5]), we get

$$\int_Q A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt \to \iint_{Q\times\mathcal{K}} \widehat{A}_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi} dxdtd\beta \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$$

where, setting $\boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1)$, we have $\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} = (\mathbb{D}_j \boldsymbol{u})_{1\le j\le N}$ with $\mathbb{D}_j \boldsymbol{u} = (\mathbb{D}_j \boldsymbol{u}^k)_{1\le k\le N}$ and $\mathbb{D}_j \boldsymbol{u}^k = \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \partial_j \widehat{u}_1^k$ $(\partial_j \widehat{u}_1^k = \mathcal{G}_1\left(\overline{\partial} u_1^k / \partial y_j\right))$, and the same definition for $\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi}$.

Let us now tackle the term involving convolution. First we know that $A_1^\varepsilon \to A_1$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$-strong Σ and $\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \overline{\nabla}_y \boldsymbol{u}_1$ in $L^2(Q)^{N^2}$-weak Σ; hence by virtue of Theorem 3.5, we conclude that

$$A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to A_1 * *(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \overline{\nabla}_y \boldsymbol{u}_1) \text{ in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$$

where the double convolution is defined with respect to the time variable as follows:

$$\left(\widehat{A_1 * *(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \overline{\nabla}_y \boldsymbol{u}_1)}\right)(t, x, s_0, s) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{K(A_\tau)} \widehat{A}_1(\tau, x, r_0, s)(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \partial \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_1)(t-\tau, x, s_0 - r_0, s)\, d\beta_\tau(r_0),$$

in which the function $\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0$ is assumed to be defined on the whole of $\mathbb{R}^N$ by taking its zero-extension off Ω. Therefore, repeating the same reasoning as for the preceding term, we arrive at (as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$)

$$\int_Q (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt \to \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A_y)\times K(A_\tau)} (\widehat{A_1} * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\, dxdtd\beta,$$

or, as β_τ is supported by $K(A_\tau)$,

$$\int_Q (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt \to \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} (\widehat{A_1} * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\, dxdtd\beta.$$

As for the term with the pressure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\, dxdt &= \int_Q p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdt + \int_Q p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{\psi}_1)^\varepsilon\, dxdt \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_Q p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1)^\varepsilon\, dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Set $p_0(x,t) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{p}(t, x, s_0, s)\, d\beta$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in Q$, where p is as in (4.4). Then we know that $p_\varepsilon \to p_0$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak, and, passing to the limit in (4.12) as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\int_Q p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\, dxdt \to \int_Q p_0 \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt + \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{p} \widehat{\operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{\psi}_1}\, dxdtd\beta.$$

Finally, for the term in the right-hand side of (4.11), reasoning as in the proof of (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\, dxdtdv \to \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}_0(\boldsymbol{u}_0 - v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdtd\beta dv.$$

Putting together the previous convergence results, we are led to the fact that the quadruple $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1, f_0, p)$ determined by (4.1)-(4.4) solves the system consisting of equation (4.8) and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0'\, dxdt + \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_1\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + \widehat{A}_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\, dxdtd\beta \\ \quad -\int_Q p_0 \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt - \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{p}\widehat{\operatorname{div}_y\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 dxdtd\beta = -\iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}_0(\boldsymbol{u}_0 - v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdtd\beta dv, \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N \times [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes A^\infty]^N. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.13}$$

From the equality $\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0$ we easily deduce that $\overline{\operatorname{div}}_y\boldsymbol{u}_1 = 0$. Next, we need to uncouple Eq. (4.13), which is equivalent to the system (4.14)-(4.15) below:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + \widehat{A}_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\partial\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\, dxdtd\beta - \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)}\widehat{p}\widehat{\operatorname{div}_y\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\, dxdtd\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes A^\infty]^N \end{array}\right. \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0' dxdt + \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + \widehat{A}_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdtd\beta \\ \quad -\int_Q p_0\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt = -\iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}_0(\boldsymbol{u}_0 - v)\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdtd\beta dv \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.15}$$

For Eq. (4.14), we choose $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1(x,t) = \varphi(x,t)\boldsymbol{w}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \in (A^\infty)^N$. Then (4.14) becomes

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \int_{\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + \widehat{A}_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\partial\widehat{\boldsymbol{w}} d\beta - \int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{p}\widehat{\operatorname{div}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{w}} d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{w} \in (A^\infty)^N. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.16}$$

Now, fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ and consider the following cell problem:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_\xi \in \mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)),\ p_\xi \in \mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^2_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)/\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_0(\xi + \partial\widehat{u}_\xi) + \widehat{A}_1 * *(\xi + \partial\widehat{u}_\xi)\right)\cdot\partial\widehat{\boldsymbol{w}} d\beta - \int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{p_\xi}\widehat{\operatorname{div}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{w}} d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{w} \in (A^\infty)^N \end{array}\right. \tag{4.17}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^N_y) = \{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\#A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)^N : \overline{\operatorname{div}}_y\boldsymbol{v} = 0\}$. Then Eq. (4.17) is the variational formulation of the problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\overline{\operatorname{div}}_y\left(A_0\overline{\nabla}_y u_\xi + A_1 * *\overline{\nabla}_y u_\xi\right) + \overline{\nabla}_y p_\xi = \operatorname{div}_y(A_0\xi + A_1 * *\xi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau} \\ \overline{\operatorname{div}}_y u_\xi = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.18}$$

Thanks to the properties of the functions A_i $(i = 0, 1)$, the above problem is classically solved and possesses a unique solution $(u_\xi, p_\xi) \in \mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)) \times \mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^2_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)/\mathbb{R})$.

Now, Returning to Eq. (4.17) where we set $\xi = \nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(t,x)$, we find out that Eqs. (4.16) and (4.17) are the variational formulation of the same problem (say Eq. (4.18)). Owing to the uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (4.17), we have $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = u_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0}$ and $p = p_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0}$ where $u_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0}$ (resp. $p_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0}$) denotes the function $(t,x) \mapsto u_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(x,t)}$ (resp. $(t,x) \mapsto p_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(x,t)}$) defined from Q into $\mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,2}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^N_y))$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^2_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^2_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)/\mathbb{R})$).

4.2. **Homogenization result.** Let us first define the effective coefficients. Let the matrices $\mathcal{C}_k = (c^k_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$ $(k = 0, 1)$ be defined as follows: for any $\xi = (\xi_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$,

$$\mathcal{C}_0\xi = \int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{A}_0(\xi + \partial\widehat{u}_\xi)d\beta, \quad \mathcal{C}_1\xi = \int_{\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A}_1 * *(\xi + \partial\widehat{u}_\xi)\right)d\beta \tag{4.19}$$

Then, thanks to the uniqueness of u_ξ (for a given ξ), the matrices $\mathcal{C}_k$ are well defined and are symmetric. It is obvious that the c^k_{ij} are obtained by choosing in Eq. (4.19) $\xi = (\delta_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$ (the identity matrix), δ_{ij} being the Kronecker delta.

The matrix $\mathcal{C}_k$ are the *effective homogenized viscosities* which depend continuously on $(t,x) \in Q$ as seen in the next result whose classical proof is omitted.

Proposition 4.1. *It holds that*

(i) $\mathcal{C}_i$ $(i=0,1)$ *are symmetric and further* $\mathcal{C}_i \in \mathcal{C}(Q)^{N^2}$*;*

(ii) $\mathcal{C}_0\lambda\cdot\lambda \geq \alpha\,|\lambda|^2$ *for all* $(x,t)\in Q$ *and all* $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^N$*, where* α *is the same as in assumption* (**A1**)*.*

We can now formulate the homogenized problem. To this end, consider Eq. (4.15) in which we take $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = u_{\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0}$. We get

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\int_Q \boldsymbol{u}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0' dxdt + \int_Q \left[\int_{\Delta(A)}\left(\widehat{A_0}(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0+\partial\widehat{u}_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0}) + \widehat{A}_1 * *(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0+\partial\widehat{u}_{\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0})\right)d\beta\right]\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdt \\ \quad -\int_Q p_0\,\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt = -\int_Q\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}_0 d\beta\right)(\boldsymbol{u}_0-v)dv\right]\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\, dxdt \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N, \end{array}\right.$$

which is just the variational formulation (where accounting of $\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0$) of the following anisotropic nonlocal Stokes system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial t} - \mathrm{div}(\mathcal{C}_0\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{C}_1(t-\tau,x)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(x,\tau)d\tau) + \nabla p_0 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\boldsymbol{u}_0-v)\,dv \text{ in } Q \\ \mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \text{ in } Q \\ \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T) \\ \boldsymbol{u}_0(x,0) = \boldsymbol{u}^0(x) \text{ in } \Omega \end{array}\right. \tag{4.20}$$

where $f = \int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}_0 d\beta$. Finally, to be more concise, let us put together Eq. (4.8)-(4.10):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + v\cdot\overline{\nabla}_y f_0 + \mathrm{div}_v\left((\boldsymbol{u}_0-v)f_0\right) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}^N_y \\ f_0(t,x,y,v) = f_0(t,x,y,v^*) \text{ for } x\in\partial\Omega \text{ with } v\cdot\nu(x) < 0 \\ f_0(0,x,y,v) = f^0(x,v) \text{ for } (x,y,v)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N_y\times\mathbb{R}^N_v \end{array}\right. \tag{4.21}$$

where $v^* = v - 2(v\cdot\nu(x))\nu(x)$.

In view of what has been done above, we see that the system (4.20)-(4.21) possesses at least solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, f_0, p_0)$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}_0\in L^2(0,T;V)\cap\mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$, $f_0\in L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $p_0\in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$. We are therefore led to the following homogenization result which is the second main result of this work.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that* (**A1**)-(**A3**) *hold. For each* $\varepsilon>0$*, let* $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ *be a solution to* (1.1)-(1.5)*. Then up to a subsequence, the sequence* $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ *strongly converges in* $L^2(Q)^N$ *to* $\boldsymbol{u}_0$*, the sequence* $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ *weakly* Σ*-converges in* $L^2(Q\times\mathbb{R}^N_v)$ *towards* f_0 *and the sequence* $(p_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ *weakly converges in* $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ *towards* p_0*, where* $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, f_0, p_0)$ *is a solution to the system* (4.20)-(4.21)*. Moreover any weak* Σ*-limit point* $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, f_0, p_0)$ *in* $L^2(0,T;V)\cap\mathcal{C}([0,T];H)\times L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N))\times L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ *of* $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ *is a solution to Problem* (4.20)-(4.21)*.*

5. Some applications

A look at the previous section reveals that the homogenization process has been made possible thanks to Assumption (**A3**). This assumption is formulated in a general manner encompassing a variety of concrete behaviors of the coefficients of the operator involved in (1.2). We aim at providing in this section some natural situations leading to the homogenization of (1.1)-(1.5). First and foremost, it is an easy task (using [34]) to see that all the algebras wmv involved in the following problems are introverted.

5.1. **Problem 1 (Periodic homogenization).** The homogenization of (1.1)-(1.5) can be achieved under the periodicity assumption

(**A3**)$_1$ The functions $A_i(t,x,\cdot,\cdot)$ $(i=0,1)$ are periodic of period 1 in each scalar coordinate.

This leads to (**A3**) with $A = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}(Z\times Y) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}(Z)\odot\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}(Y)$ (the product algebra, with $Y=(0,1)^N$ and $Z=(0,1)$), and hence $B^2_A(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau}) = L^2_{\mathrm{per}}(Z\times Y)$.

5.2. **Problem 2 (Almost periodic homogenization).** The above functions in (**A3**)$_1$ are both almost periodic in (τ,y) in the sense of Besicovitch [2]. This amounts to (**A3**) with $A = AP(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau}) = AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)\odot AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ ($AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ the Bohr almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ [3]).

5.3. **Problem 3 (Weak almost periodic homogenization).** The homogenization problem for (1.1)-(1.5) may also be considered under the assumption

$(\mathbf{A3})_2$ $A_i(t,x,\cdot,\cdot)$ $(i=0,1)$ is weakly almost periodic [8]. This leads to $(\mathbf{A3})$ with $A = WAP(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \odot WAP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ ($WAP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$, the algebra of continuous weakly almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$; see e.g., [8]).

5.4. **Problem 4.** Let F be a Banach subalgebra of $\mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;F)$ denote the space of all continuous functions $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d;F)$ such that $\psi(\zeta)$ has a limit in F as $|\zeta| \to \infty$. In particular, it is known that $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

With this in mind, our goal here is to study the homogenization for problem (1.1)-(1.5) under the hypothesis

$(\mathbf{A3})_3$ $A_i(t,x,\cdot,\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau; L^2_{\mathrm{per}}(Y))$ for any $(t,x) \in Q$, where $Y = (0,1)^N$.

It is an easy task to see that the appropriate algebra here is the product algebra $A = \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \odot \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}(Y)$.

6. Conclusion

In this work, we have constructed weak solutions of a nonlocal Stokes-Vlasov system without any assumptions on high-order velocity moments of the initial distribution of particles. Our approach consisted in applying Schauder's fixed point theorem to a carefully regularized version of the Stokes-Vlasov system and passing to the limit by means of compactness arguments. Our investigation culminated with the homogenization of Stokes-Vlasov system under generous structural assumptions on coefficients encompassing various forms of classical behaviors.

References

[1] A.A. Amsden, P.J. O'Rourke, T.D. Butler, KIVA-2: a computer program for chemically reactive flows with sprays, NASA STI/recon technical report N 89 (1989): 27975.

[2] A.S. Besicovitch, Almost periodic functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1932.

[3] H. Bohr, Almost periodic functions, Chelsea, New York, 1947.

[4] L. Boudin, L. Desvillettes, C. Grandmont, A. Moussa, Global existence of solutions for the coupled Vlasov and Navier-Stokes equations, Differ. Integral Equ. **22** (2009) 1247-1271.

[5] J. Casado Diaz, I. Gayte, The two-scale convergence method applied to generalized Besicovitch spaces, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **458** (2002), 2925-2946.

[6] N. Crouseilles, E. Frénod, S.A. Hirstoaga, A. Mouton, Two-scale macro-micro decomposition of the Vlasov equation with a strong magnetic field, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **23** (2013) 1527-1559.

[7] H. Douanla, J.L. Woukeng, Almost periodic homogenization of a generalized Ladyzhenskaya model for incompresible viscous flow, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **189** (2013), 431-458.

[8] W.F. Eberlein, Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949) 217-240.

[9] E. Frenod, K. Hamdache, Homogenisation of transport kinetic equations with oscillating potentials, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **126** (1996) 1247-1275.

[10] E. Frénod, E. Sonnendrücker, Homogenization of the Vlasov equation and of the Vlasov-Poisson system with a strong external magnetic field, Asymptot. Anal. **18** (1998) 193-213.

[11] P.A. Gokhale, S. Deokattey, V. Kumar, Accelerator driven systems (ADS) for energy production and waste transmutation: International trends in R&D, Progress in Nuclear Energy **48** (2006) 91-102.

[12] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin, A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. I. Light particles regime, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004) 1495-1515.

[13] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin, A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. II. Fine particles regime, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004) 1517-1536.

[14] W. Greenberg, M. Williams, P.F. Zweifel, A report on the seventh international conference on transport theory, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics **10** (1981) 115-130.

[15] J.-S. Jiang, C.-K. Lin, Weak turbulence plasma induced by two-scale homogenization, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **410** (2014) 585-596.

[16] A. Mellet, A. Vasseur, Global weak solutions for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Navier-Stokes system of equations, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **17** (2007). 1039-1063.

[17] A. Mellet, A. Vasseur, Asymptotic analysis for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/compressible Navier-Stokes system of equations, Comm. Math. Phys. **281** (2008) 573-596.

[18] K. Hamdache, Global existence and large time behaviour of solutions for the Vlasov-Stokes equations, Jpn. J. Ind. Appl. Math. **15** (1998) 51-74.

[19] V.V. Jikov, S.M. Kozlov, O.A. Oleinik, Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[20] J.L. Lions, E. Magenes, Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications (Vol 1). Paris, Dunod, 1968
[21] S. Mischler, On the trace problem for solutions of the Vlasov equation, Comm. Partial Differ. Equ. 25(2000) 1415-1443.
[22] G. Nguetseng, A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **20**(1989) 608-623.
[23] G. Nguetseng, Homogenization structures and applications I, Z. Anal. Anwen. **22** (2003) 73-107.
[24] G. Nguetseng, M. Sango, J.L. Woukeng, Reiterated ergodic algebras and applications, Commun. Math. Phys. **300** (2010) 835-876.
[25] J. Nieto, F. Poupaud, J. Soler, High-field limit for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **158** (2001)1, 29-59.
[26] E.S. Oran, J.P. Boris, Numerical simulation of reactive flow, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
[27] R. Potthast, P. B. Graben, Existence and properties of solutions for neural field equations, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **33** (2010) 935-949.
[28] F. Poupaud, J. Soler, Parabolic limit and stability of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck system, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **10** (2000) 1027-1045.
[29] M. Sango, N. Svanstedt, J.L. Woukeng, Generalized Besicovitch spaces and application to deterministic homogenization, Nonlin. Anal. TMA **74** (2011) 351–379.
[30] M. Sango, J.L. Woukeng, Stochastic sigma-convergence and applications, Dynamics of PDEs **8** (2011) 261-310.
[31] J. Simon, On the existence of the pressure for solutions of the variational Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **1** (1999) 225-234.
[32] N. Svanstedt, J.L. Woukeng, Homogenization of a Wilson-Cowan model for neural fields, Nonlin. Anal. RWA **14** (2013) 1705-1715.
[33] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations: Theory and numerical analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
[34] J.L. Woukeng, Introverted algebras with mean value and applications, Nonlin. Anal. **99** (2014) 190-215.
[35] J.L. Woukeng, Linearized viscoelastic Oldroyd fluid motion in almost periodic environment, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., online in Wiley Online Library, DOI: 10.1002/mma.3026, 2013.
[36] J.L. Woukeng, Homogenization in algebras with mean value, arXiv: 1207.5397v1, 2012 (Submitted).
[37] H.-T. Yau, Relative entropy and hydrodynamics of Ginzburg-Landau models, Lett. Math. Phys. **22** (1991) 63-80.
[38] C. Yu, Global weak solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Vlasov equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. **100** (2013) 275-293.
[39] V.V. Zhikov, E.V. Krivenko, Homogenization of singularly perturbed elliptic operators. Matem. Zametki **33** (1983) 571-582 (english transl.: Math. Notes, **33** (1983) 294-300).

G. Nguetseng, Department of Mathematics, University of Yaounde 1, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroon
E-mail address: nguetseng@yahoo.fr, nguetseng@uy1.uninet.cm

C. Wafo Soh, Department of Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, College of Science, Engineering and Technology, Jackson State University, JSU Box 17610, 1400 J R Lynch St., Jackson, MS 39217, USA
E-mail address: celestin.wafo_soh@jsums.edu

J. L. Woukeng, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon
E-mail address: jwoukeng@yahoo.fr